

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_99542
Title of the Manuscript:	Association Between Age Gain, Parkinsonism and Pesticides: A Public Health Problem?
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalindj.com/index.php/INDJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript is relevant with specific information on Parkinsonism, correlating with aging and contamination by pesticides, it might be an important health problem. That's suitable. The abstract is good and understandable. The authors should separate the subsection in the introduction as 1.1 Age gain and neural system 1.2. Aging and Parkinsonism 1.3 Pesticides Yes, it is scientific correct. Sufficient, however, there is a reference is missing (no.51)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript has to be re-read for English and ready for submission	
Optional/General comments	1. Please check references, there is no reference 51 in the text 2. It would be interesting to add more information of previous studies in preclinical models and patient to help better understanding the pathophysiology of MSA and PD 3. Please include information about the incidence of PD in Aging people who expose to pesticides	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ratchaniporn Kongsui
Department, University & Country	University of Phayao, Thailand