

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99016
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the effects of nutrient management on growth attributes, fodder qualities and soil properties of fodder Oats (Avena sativa): An overview
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This is a review article of research done and findings on the intergrated nutrient management of oats and its impact on growth, development and nutritional quality as a fodder crop. This information can be used by researchers, scholars to direct and create awareness of the work done on oats as a fodder crop and hence direct and show the missing gaps and recommendations of what need to be done going forward. Farmers, policy markers and relavant stakeholders in the agricultural field can also benefit on the information for animal feeding and feed formulations. Yes , it summarised the paper with a brief on the overall purpose of the study and the research problem. Also the paper highlighted major findings of this paper. Yes Most of the references cited are recent and sufficient, however one of the reference cited is from 1968/1983 which may be too old for this review.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, however check spellings and grammatical errors made and correct them where necessary.	
Optional/General comments	There is a lot of inconsistency in the citation and reference style used, therefore the author is advised to revisit the author's guidelines to adopt the correct method recommended for the journal. Some of the references cited do not appear in the reference section and some of those in the reference section are not cited. Try to cross check the citations with the references and make corrections accordingly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onkgolotse G. Moatshe-Mashiqa
Department, University & Country	Botswana