

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_99536
Title of the Manuscript:	Males Practicing Midwifery: Increasing Acceptance in Cultural and Religious Settings.
Type of the Article	Letter To The Editor

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript is important for Midwifery and scientific community.</p> <p>The title is not cover the content. It should be "Male Midwives Practicing: Barriers and Strategies in Promoting the acceptance" No abstract</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, however, some additional situation analysis of male midwifery practicing should be reviewed in the introduction. English grammar should be checked.</p> <p>References related to situation analysis of male midwives practicing should be added.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English grammar should be checked, particularly some words, such as "Males Practicing Midwifery".	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sununta Youngwanichsetha
Department, University & Country	Eastern Asia University, Thailand