

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAHR_98463   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Oil Palm Bunch Refuse Ash in Sustainable Production of Egusi Melon ( <i>Colocynthis citrullus</i> ) in Acid Coastal Plain Soil of Uyo Southeastern Nigeria |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br/><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol> |   |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>   | <p>The article is fairly good but you need to use modern sources and develop more interpretations of the results as well as require a rewording of the introduction. Preferring to rewrite the introduction because it is insufficient. Use recent references in the study to enhance the results .</p> |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  |   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Nihad Abdul-Lateef Ali Kadhim                            |
| Department, University & Country | College of Agriculture, AL -Qasim Green University, Iraq |