

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_98463
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Oil Palm Bunch Refuse Ash in Sustainable Production of Egusi Melon (Colocynthis citrullus) in Acid Coastal Plain Soil of Uyo Southeastern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is important for scientific community. Effect of Oil Palm Bunch Refuse Ash in the Production of Colocynthis citrullus cultivated on Coastal Plain Soil of Uyo, Nigeria. The abstract of the article is comprehensive The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The manuscript is scientifically correct. The references are quite old, the data for this research were collected since 2011, just wondering why the delay in publication. The study is appropriate but the author really needs to work on the manner he or she reported the manuscript, the beauty was lost because of inappropriate grammatical presentation. Advised to subject the whole manuscript to grammar checker.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language quality of the article is very poor.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bukola Margaret Popoola
Department, University & Country	Ajayi Crowther University, Nigeria