

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99775
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on the knowledge about information and communication technology tools of Paddy growers in Nuapada district of Odisha
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6.</p> <p>7. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is very much important for the scientific community as the role of ict in today's world, can't be ignored. And if the farmer wants to procure maximum profit from his field, he must equip himself with all the technologies and knowledge. This manuscript provides us the knowledge status of farming community and also its relationship with the ICT tools usage and practices.</p> <p>The author of this study has studied the knowledge of farming community regarding ICT tools and its usage by the paddy growers. Hence the title suits the article.</p> <p>Yes , the abstract of the article is comprehensive and provides the readers knowledge about paper in short. Yes</p> <p>The author has tested each and every objective on a scale and finally he used correlation to find out the relationship between the independent variables with dependent ones.</p> <p>The authors have gone through lots of previous work. But in terms of recent one I found only one paper of 2022. At present time there have been a lot of work done on the ICT aspect. Hence I suggest the author to take more recent references and that will add to his work.</p> <p>The author can add on some more recent references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pooja Kumari
Department, University & Country	Krishi Vigyan Kendra, India