

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_93877
Title of the Manuscript:	Post-Harvest Control of Fungal Rot Agents of Groundnut (Arachis hypogea L.) Using Leaf Extracts of Neem (Azadirachta indica)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Comment [A1]: Management

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaprij.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Replace the word control with "management" from the title page to the entire document.</p> <p>In the abstract mention the lists of sampled areas.</p> <p>In abstract "Aspergillus niger, Fusarium solani, Rhizopus stolonifer and Aspergillus niger" How single pathogen can be repeated??? A. niger at the beginning and A. niger at the end????</p> <p>Abstract needs, major revision, re-ordering and re-shaping.</p> <p>The word retardiation, needs to be changed to inhibition, is that mycelial inhibition??? Its not clear.</p> <p>What was the volume of extracts applied to the media/ invitro test? µL/ ML or what???? Its not clear for layman reader.</p> <p>Introduction part: Importance's of groundnut in the country of experiment.</p> <p>Losses of peanut due to aflatoxin?</p> <p>Previous report of aflatoxin reduction using different approaches and why they prefer to use the leaf extract?</p> <p>Methodology part:</p> <p>2.1. Says "Study area", but paragraph starts with isolation of pathogen.</p> <p>Under this section, clear background of the study area must be provided, how much the areas produce groundnut? How many districts visited? Which cropping season? It lack the major important information's, reader must to know.</p> <p>All tables needs to be reconstructed as I have made Table 2.</p> <p>2.2. "blight" the word blight has no place in this section and activity? So, what does you want to say. How do you did incidences of rot? I sthat by screening the rot and non-rot then calculate the incidence? Its not clear at all. And lacks clarity.</p> <p>In the entire, document, he must use, either peanut or groundnut. Never use both names in single document.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdi Mohammed Hassen
Department, University & Country	Haramaya University, Ethiopia