

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_99071
Title of the Manuscript:	BACTERIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF CRITICAL AREAS IN THREE SELECTED UNITS IN JODHPUR DENTAL COLLEGE GENERAL HOSPITAL, JODHPUR RAJASTHAN, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Abstract:</b> The abstract consists of a maximum of 150 words with contents related to research objectives, research methods, results or findings during research implementation, and the limitations of the research. <b>Keywords:</b> 3-5 words... 1–2 words in the title; 2–3 words listed in the abstract</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. First paragraph: research objectives</li> <li>2. The second paragraph is related to the advantages of the first paragraph and why with the existing title.</li> <li>3. Third paragraph: the latest literature to explain the existence of research from existing data to the latest data with a clear method.</li> <li>4. Final paragraph: hypotheses that answer the research objectives.</li> </ol> <p><b>Research Methods:</b> <b>Detailed information about:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Materials, devices/instrument</li> <li>2. Data collection/eksperimental set up</li> <li>3. Eksperimental procedure</li> <li>4. Data analysis techniques</li> </ol> <p>To provide sufficient information so that the reader can reproduce the eksperiment or its derivate</p> <p><b>Finding and Discussion:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Explaining findings and discussion clearly</li> <li>2. Offer explanation and analysis; cite literature references; and state the implications.</li> <li>3. Review data findings, discuss results, and make bets.</li> <li>4. Findings will be better if the author shows pictures, graphs, or visualizations.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Briefly restate your topic and explain why it is important.</li> <li>2. Summarize the main point of your paper.</li> <li>3. Discuss the important of your point.</li> <li>4. You should discuss the future perspective of your research and the issues under consideration.</li> <li>5. This is a suggestion or an invitation for future research development.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rahmi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muhammadiyah University of Makassar, Indonesia</b>