

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_99071
Title of the Manuscript:	BACTERIOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT OF CRITICAL AREAS IN THREE SELECTED UNITS IN JODHPUR DENTAL COLLEGE GENERAL HOSPITAL, JODHPUR RAJASTHAN, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes the manuscript is important for scientific community as it involves bacteriological assessments and the manuscript Acted as a general guide and it gave an overview of the entire work and as such aided the assessment of the work.</p> <p>2. Yes the title is suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract is not entirely comprehensive;</p> <p>I. Names of authors and addresses were not stated. II. Introduction was included in the abstract which is not suitable. III. Summary of the findings were not included in the abstract. IV. Sub-title "Keywords" should be before introduction of the study.</p> <p>4. No;</p> <p>I. The introduction should contain the aims and objectives of the study II. Method and Materia, should be written as "Material and Method" and it should be explicit stating the method used. III. Laboratory materials and equipments should be under materials and methods, so also is the ethical approval and study population. IV. Collection transportation and analysis should be under materials and methods. V. Mwthods used for the identification of pathogens should be stated eg gram staining method and biochemical testings. VI. Results explanations should be written before the actual table representation respectively. VII. The names of the organisms should be italisized eg <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> VIII. The Discussion should be centered on the objectives of the study. IX. <i>et. al.</i> Should be italisized.</p> <p>5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. Reference (1) should read 4(2): 75 - 82 Reference (2) doesnt not contain volume and page. Reference (6) doesnt contain "and" before the last author. Reference (7) doesnt contain "and" before the last author. Reference (10) is a text book, there should be "pp" (page) 275-302 Reference (21) has a conflicting year of publication "2018" and "2019". Reference (22) needs rewriting of authors Initials. Reference (23) doesnt contain "and" before the last author. Reference (25) needs rewriting of authors Initials</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes quality of English is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chris U. A. Mbata
Department, University & Country	Rivers State University, Nigeria