

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JOCAMR_97650</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Relationship of Emotional Intelligence (EI) to Work Stress in Echelon 1-4 Employees at PTITP Year 2021</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>1. No.</b> The number of samples are too small (42 respondents) to generalise the findings to the scientific community. <b>2. No. Suggested Title:</b> Emotional Intelligence Among Employees at PTITP (author should avoid using short form for the title) <b>3. No.</b> Some of the information from the analysis were missing. <b>4. Yes</b>  <b>5. No.</b> Correlational Analysis was not presented in the paper. Demographic characteristics, emotional intelligence and work stress level for respondents should be presented in the table instead of pie charts and histogram. The discussion included gender but there was no analysis for gender. There were few paragraphs discussed about the relationship between age and stress, however the analysis showed there were no relationship between age and stress. <b>6. Acceptable</b>  <b>7.</b> Too many short forms that are not able to understand. Eg: e-chelon 1-4; PTITP; BPS; CV; SMI <b>8.</b> No consistency in the references format.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<b>No.</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Lee Bee Yoke</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tunku Abdul Rahman University of Management and Technology, Malaysia</b>