

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98567
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of zinc and boron on yield and economics of Cowpea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, its important. This type of research is important for improving agriculture production, helps farmers stay in business, reduce food prices, and use less land for agriculture. Legumes are specially importance in supplementing diet with the required proteins to attain balance nutrition. This is essential for those who depends on only plant-based diets. In addition legumes are known to naturally add nitrogen to the soil thereby increasing soil fertility and preventing eutrophication.</p> <p>Title is good</p> <p>3. Abstract is good</p> <p>4. good but brief</p> <p>5. yes</p> <p>6. No. results and discussion lacked support.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Spell out UP where it appeared first as: Uttar Pradesh (U.P.). Then its ok to abbreviate thereafter.</p> <p>Introduction: Change yielding to yield! Change harmone to hormone</p> <p>Results: Remove 'and' from this sentence: However, application of 20 kg/ha zinc along with 1.5 kg/ha of boron and was found to be statistically at par with highest in seeds/pod (15.1). Capitalize seed in the sentence following the above one. Refer to Table 1 where it was discussed in your text. Capitalize table 2 in your results and remove "no". Table 2: explain what INR stands for in the Table legend.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</p> <p>In M&M: you mentioned "The growth parameters viz., plant height (cm), dry weight (g/plant), were recorded at 15 days-time intervals till physiological maturity". What is the purpose of this? Was there results to reflect these intervals? There are 16 elements which are essential for plant growth. It will be helpful to give a background for why the author(s) chose these two micronutrients. This could be added under introduction or discussion. At the end of the introduction, please clearly state the objective(s) of your study. This is standard practice in scientific writing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kamal Mohamed
Department, University & Country	State University of New York , USA