

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98567
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of zinc and boron on yield and economics of Cowpea
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The study of the impact of some micronutrients in a crop is of utmost importance to determine the best intake under local environmental conditions. The author (s) used and studied the effect of boron and Zinc on Cowpea production and its economics. It could say this work is adequate to publish after completing all requirements and revisions. # English language and proofreading need more revision. # Abstract is not obvious and not covering all demanded sections. It should briefly describe the purpose of the work, techniques, and methods used, major findings with important data, and conclusions. It needs to reformulate. # Objectives of the study is not written. Kindly write it. # The M&M needs to be moderately readable and arranged. # Results highlighted their interest in them only. Table numbers should be mentioned in the text for better understanding. # The discussion is missing. No any interpretation is mentioned. # Tables should be placed inside the text. They should be presented as per their appearance in the text. Kindly follow the instructions of "Journal Guidelines".	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English language and proofreading need more revision.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ehab M.B. Mahdy
Department, University & Country	Agricultural Research Center, Egypt