

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IAARJ_99151
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDY OF MAXIMUM AVERAGE WAVE HEIGHT DISTRIBUTION IN THE SOUTHERN WATERS OF JAVA ISLAND DURING THE PERIOD 2010-2021
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaliaarj.com/index.php/IAARJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. It would be important if some temporal and/or spatial trend patterns could be specified; only average statistic is kind of too general. With over 10 years data, it is doable to perform a time series analysis. 2. yes 3. okay 4. okay 5. The study design and methods could be addressed more clearly. The authors should revise the manuscript accordingly (please see the comments in the manuscript). 6. okay	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It would be better if the image resolution can be improved	
Optional/General comments	Performing a time series analysis would be great.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mingzeng Sun
Department, University & Country	State University of New York, USA