

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ARRB_95613</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Network-based toxicogenomics approach to unraveling the influence of lead and cadmium mixture on the development and progression of Hypertension (HYP)</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Yes</b>	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<b>Yes</b>	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes</b>	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	<b>Yes</b>	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	<b>Yes</b>	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	<b>Yes</b>	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Few errors with the section numbering</b> 1.0 Introduction should be <b>1. Introduction</b> 2.0 Materials and methods should be <b>2. Materials and methods</b> 3.0 Results should be <b>3. Results</b> 4.0 Discussion should be <b>4. Discussion</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<b>Yes</b>	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is well written.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Adefarati Oloruntoba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>China University of Petroleum, China</b>