

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_98908
Title of the Manuscript:	SERRATIA MARECESENS meningitis complicated by ventriculitis: about a neonatal case
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpr.com/index.php/AJPR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1.Yes</p> <p>2.yes</p> <p>3.yes</p> <p>4.alright</p> <p>5. manuscript specially case history in detail, management and treatment and follow-up and compliance of patient should rewritten. More comparable studies should be added.</p> <p>6.check the style of writing of the references</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Please improve the composition of the text.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1.use one font for heading of the article and rewrite name of organism as spelling of species of Serratia is wrong and write in italics.</p> <p>2.write the first letter of the genus in capital and species in small</p> <p>3.seriously check composition of the article</p> <p>4.see the flow of the case presentation.it should be first start with presentation of symptoms, then investigations and probable diagnosis and treatment and clinical correlation with the laboratory investigations and compliance of the patient to the treatment and discharge</p> <p>5.kindly mention the date and month and year of admission of the patient and place in the case history.</p> <p>6.add more comparable studies in the discussion.</p> <p>7.did the patient respond to the treatment and did you do follow-up of the patient?</p> <p>8.check the style of writing the references</p> <p>For ex: Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grishma Kulkarni
Department, University & Country	Deccan College of Medical Sciences, India