

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_97163
Title of the Manuscript:	Inhibition of early mouse plasmacytoma development after Plasmodium infection
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, the study describes how Plasmodium infection could influence on the Inhibition of early mouse plasmacytoma.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is OK, but it can mention the main conclusion "Plasmodium infection could influence on the Inhibition of early mouse plasmacytoma"</p> <p>Yes, both are correct.</p> <p>Yes, the information of the manuscript is well correlated.</p> <p>References are not adequate. Authors must add recent references (after 2019).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is ok.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Information is well organized. - All sections are adequate. - Only few changes should be considered which include: Authors must include references after 2019. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sergio Arturo Galindo Rodríguez
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, México