

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_99601
Title of the Manuscript:	The Mediating Effect of Language Skills Development in the Relationship of Vocabulary Learning Strategies and Motivation to Learn
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it has some relevance in the field of language motivation, language learning strategies, and language skills development.</p> <p>Suitable yet it can be condensed.</p> <p>The abstract should read like a seamless, self-contained discourse; therefore, the researcher can remove the sub-headings.</p> <p>Yes, appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Quite sufficient.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Good, but the expression students' is preferable to student's; language slips highlighted in red and alternatives given in parentheses in yellow can be taken note of by the researcher. They should proofread the manuscript it once again to avoid language slips, involved sentence structures, and confusing phrases.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>A good attempt at solving a relevant problem.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeyaraj John Sekar
Department, University & Country	The American College, India