

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98100
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF PLACE BASED EDUCATION IN LOWER PRIMARY ENGLISH CLASS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. yes, manuscripts are quite important to the scientific community 2. yes 3. Abstracts have been written systematically and fulfill all elements of research 4. yes, it's appropriate 5. the manuscript is in accordance with the principles of scientific research, from the introduction, contains the background, problem formulation, research objectives. literature review, theory, appropriate methods. results and conclusions are heuristic 6. references are mostly up to date. there is a fraction that is more than 10 years old, but still relevant	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	there are some grammatical errors	
Optional/General comments	the article as a whole is written according to the rules of scientific writing, and has met the qualifications of scientific research.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nawiroh Vera
Department, University & Country	Universitas Budi Luhur, Indonesia