

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98100
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF PLACE BASED EDUCATION IN LOWER PRIMARY ENGLISH CLASS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Quite important.</b>  <b>With little amendment. Add the locale of study.</b>  <b>Comprehensive, let the keyword "implementation be replaced with use or utilization"</b>  <b>Not really, need for restructuring of the subheadings, most especially, the introduction should not feature more than once in any article or project.</b>  <b>Scientifically correct but needs rearrangement to fit in as a publishable article.</b>  <b>Make the references at the back of the article conform to the latest APA format and some authors are used for discussion part of the article without citation in the body of the article.</b>  <b>32 pages as a research article is too bogus, remove over-exploded paragraphs and sentences and streamline the article to maximum of 20 pages.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Scholarly with minor tenses corrections.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	A. E. Adesina
Department, University & Country	Emmanuel Alayande University of Education, Nigeria