

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_99583
Title of the Manuscript:	Double Gastric Perforation as a complication of Peptic Ulcer Disease, a rare Surgical Entity
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes. The manuscript has a new case study described and well analyzed. It is important for medical updating and can be used as a new reference in future studies. 2. Yes, it is in accordance with what is proposed by what is described in the text. 3. Yes, it is agreed. 4. Yes. 5. Yes, as required. 6. Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1. Yes, English is OK.	
Optional/General comments	A tip would be to add more general information about the ulcer, and describe a little more about the Peptic Ulcer.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mikaela Clotilde da Silva
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Campina Grande, Brazil