

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_99235
Title of the Manuscript:	Value Chain Model of Sheep-Fattening Business in Langkat District
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>yes</p> <p>no. The title must be changed.</p> <p>Abstract with side headings is not the usual format. If its in a continuous paragraph its better. Rewrite the Abstract again. First word in abstract – Assigned spell error There is scope to add subsections like implementation Just a chain model is designed. No where the software related to the model and its implementation phases are discussed and projected More references need to be added I request the author to check for the spell and grammar errors. Conclusion part must be rewritten, it must clearly state the authors implementation results part. The article in its correct form cannot be accepted for publication. It needs major modifications</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It must be rewritten	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P Rajarajeswari
Department, University & Country	KL University, India