

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_98756
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of bacteria isolated from herbal drugs hawked in Onitsha Anambra State Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajrm.com/index.php/SAJRM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Abstract need the article main objectives. 2. Keywords are missing 3. Introduction need more referencing for all the statements given. 4. Current article referencing needed. 5. Grammatical errors observed all over the article, different fonts are observed. 6. Objective of the study will be presenting in the abstract and in the introduction part. 7. Literature reviews are the part of the introduction section. 8. Spelling mistakes are observed all over the article. 9. What size Whatman filter paper used, what is the pore size. 10. Chemical's source and origin. 11. Test for Alkaloids section, how much the sample tale and what is the volume of the chemical used. 12. Figure-1 and figure-2 , in the graph significance difference is missing. 13. Control sample information missing. 14. Discussion statements need more referencing and justification.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gunasekar Manoharan
Department, University & Country	New Jersey Bio Science Centre, USA