

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology                         |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_98847  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | APPLICATION OF MARKET INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR MAJOR AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES IN HARYANA |
| Type of the Article      | Review Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments<br><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)<br><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b><br><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b><br><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b><br><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b><br><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b> | Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes<br><br>Yes  |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments<br><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>   | English translation is acceptable. I still recommend that authors use a native English speaker.  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments  | I think there is a mistake in the numbering of the sections. This starts from 2.1. Also, the list of bibliographic references needs to be revised, as I commented in the manuscript. |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

### Reviewer Details:

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | Daniel Cocan  |
| Department, University & Country | University of Agricultural Science and Veterinary Medicine, Romania |