

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_98847
Title of the Manuscript:	APPLICATION OF MARKET INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR MAJOR AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES IN HARYANA
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is important Yes It is not comprehensive. It is no properly structured. Dickey-Fuller was mentioned in the abstract but it is not in the article. The subsections are not appropriate It is no scientifically correct Most of the references are too old. Some citations are not in the reference	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Writing errors covers issues like article usage, conjunctions, determiner errors, grammar, preposition usage, spelling, and unclear phrasing. I have identified 572 issues in this category	
Optional/General comments	It looks like your manuscript doesn't follow the IMRaD model. It is recommended that your manuscript follows the IMRaD to ensure your research is conveyed in an effective manner.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chigozirim Onwusiribe
Department, University & Country	Michael Okpara Unviersity of Agriculture, Nigeria