

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_93571</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>EFFECT OF MICRONUTRIENTS ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF BANANA (Musa spp.) Cv. RED BANANA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The introduction was poorly written and ignored vital information. The earliest references can be found in the methods and materials section, which is also the shortest and lacks important details. Please make a list of the codes for your procedure.</p> <p>In addition, the methodology section is ineffective and lacking in specific details and statistics. Furthermore, the results and discussion section began with the statistical analysis results that had not been mentioned in the materials and methods section. In this study, what statistical software was used?</p> <p>Please use statistical analysis to back up your claims.</p> <p>The presentation is terrible, and the language is a jumble of broken sentences. Several verbs and sentences have been repeated multiple times. In the results and discussion section, the writer listed all of the labels and their findings, but did not discuss each point separately.</p> <p>There was no organization or detailed explanation; it was a complete mess. Aside from that, the discussion section was a total disaster (meaningless).</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shahira H. EL-Moslamy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology Research Institute, Egypt</b>