

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_95086
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPRATIVE STUDY OF REMNANT CHOLESTEROL, SOME LIPID FRACTION AND FBS LEVEL IN OVERWEIGHT AND OBESE PARTICIPANTS IN SOUTH EAST NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment <i>'Comments and Suggestions':</i>	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes!	
2. Is the title of the article suitable?..... (If not please suggest an alternative title)	{Please, observe: ' COMPARATIVE'... instead of 'COMPRATIVE'...}	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? .....	Yes.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? .....	Yes.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? .....	The References are sufficient and appropriate. Please, observe General Norms and spaces between them.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. .... <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Suppress this sentence. Review dimensions and centralize all Tables! - Also, observe the appropriate spaces between them. – See Editor Norms!	
METHODS: 'this is a cross- sectional study.' .....		
ALL TABLES .....		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Yes.	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This important Manuscript deserves a General Review in strict observance of all Editor Norms.	
<b>General Comments and Suggestions:</b> .....		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jurandy Santos Nogueira
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brazil