

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JGEESI_99269</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Exploring the Role of Valley Bottom Farming Systems (vinyungu) for Enhanced Food Stability in a Changing Climate Epoch in Rural Tanzania</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	<b>Yes, this paper investigates the contribution of valley bottom farming systems (vinyungu) on food stability in rural areas of Tanzania.</b>	
2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<b>Yes</b>	
3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	
5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>	<b>No, The formula must be retyped using the insert formula, and numbered sequentially from the first to the last.</b>	
6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>In terms of quantity, it is sufficient, but lacking in recentness, especially those sourced from journals, is inadequate.</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Need to revise the language Check typos and punctuations	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Follow author guidelines	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jhon Hardy Purba</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Panji Sakti, Indonesia</b>