

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_99321
Title of the Manuscript:	Vaginal Atresia, Left Unicornuate Unicollis Uterus, Left Pelvic Ectopic single Kidney with Right Inguinal Hernia Containing Ovary in a 14 y Old Female: a Rare MRKHS Variant
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes because of the rarity and treatment challenges ok yes yes okay yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Okay only	
Optional/General comments	Regarding the use of sigmoid colon as vagina ,I disagree as a primary use of sigmoid colon since other options are available and might have chosen before coming to sigmoid	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Sakthiushadevi
Department, University & Country	Govt Aringar Anna Memorial Cancer Hospital, India