

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_98900
Title of the Manuscript:	Fatigue, anxiety and depression in Tunisian sarcoidosis patients
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments			
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes	<p>1. I read the article. I understand that Author claimed that this is first kind of study in Tunisia. However, my point of view is why Author want to study or explore these variables in sarcoidosis patient? Why need to do so? When there are so many existing studies claimed the positive correlation between two.</p> <p>2. In the introductory session or in the whole paper, author did not explain the independent variable. What is Sarcoidosis? Its definition its history etc .</p> <p>3. Introductory part should be revisited properly. Please go through some article and find out how to write the introductory part. Mentioning the three answers, why, how and what? Related to the independent or dependent variables.</p> <p>4. In the discussion part , in general , have to be explain or support our findings, provide explanations, However all these things are missing and added the introductory part in it.</p> <p>5. Author did not find any positive relation between fatigue and sarcoidosis disease. I didn't find any result mentioned anywhere. Author only mentioned that three independent factors were associated with fatigue: general sign, anxiety and sarcoidosis duration.</p> <p>6. It was not understood from where the Author copy the line and mentioned that our result is quiet worrying? what is mean by that? please explain?</p> <p>7. Author have mentioned "psychiatric state" instead psychological state is better word for anxiety, depression and mental health.</p> <p>please modify the reference section. It is not appropriate according to the APA style.</p>	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes		
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	No		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	No		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	No		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	No		
Minor REVISION comments			
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?			
Optional/General comments	Revision is needed		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tabassum Qazi
Department, University & Country	Finland Pedagogical Institute, Uzbekistan