

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_99092
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-demographic and HIV-related factors associated with Depression among Retroviral Positive Adolescents in Port Harcourt
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The manuscript seems to be significantly important for scientific community through its findings from the study.</p> <p>Title is suitable.</p> <p>Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>All subsections and structure are appropriate.</p> <p>Based on its findings, the article is acceptable.</p> <p>References are adequate and most are recent citations.</p> <p>It is suggested that the instruments used in the study can be conveniently stated with cronbach's alpha coefficient to provide credibility to the study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Well written.	
Optional/General comments	The study seems very interesting and very much related to the current issue. It's very direct and innovative.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohamad Rahizam Abdul Rahim
Department, University & Country	Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia