

***Original Research Article***

**Entry Requirements as a Predictor of Academic Performance in Semester and State Licensing Examination. A Case Study at Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Dunkwa-On –Offin, Central Region, Ghana**

**Comment [WU1]:** It is better to make the title short and attractive and write it according to the target

**Abstract**

**Introduction;** The standard of nursing education in Ghana is frequently brought to focus by stakeholders in the educational industry. This is obviously due to the emphasis placed on education by every nation as a means of economic, social and political development. The public outcry of the poor academic performance of nursing students in their semester and licensure examinations arouses researchers' curiosity to uncover the root cause of the problem.

**Objectives;** To assess past students' performance in their semester and licensing examination at Dunkwa Nurses' and Midwifery Training College.

**Comment [WU2]:** The goal should be in line with the results of the study

**Methodology;** This was a retrospective quantitative study conducted at the Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Dunkwa-On-Offin in the Central Region of Ghana. The study made use of all past students of both RGN (1-5) and RM (1 & 2) from Dunkwa-Offin Nursing and Midwifery Training College. Since the researchers had all the information needed for the study at their disposal, there was no need to sample the study population. All the files for the past students both Registered General Nurses and Registered Midwives were retrieved from the Administrator of the College. The files were distributed among the researchers to assess variables like age, sex, entry grade, SHS attended, CGPA and Licensure results. Data analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 and findings presented in charts and tables as well as texts. On the Ethical Considerations, prior to the data collection, permission was obtained from the Principal of Dunkwa Nursing and Midwifery Training College. The permission obtained helped the researchers to get the needed assistance and cooperation from the school administrator and other staff responsible for the students' files and other vital information.

**Result and Findings;** An overwhelming majority of the students 591(64.0%) were within the age range 18-21 years followed by 22-25 years 296(32.1%) while the minority were within the age range of 26-30 years. Majority of the students 763(82.7%) were able to pass their licensure examination once, 133(14.4%) students were able to pass in the second chance while minority of the students 27(2.9%) passed during their third chance. On the relationship between the students' demographics and their licensure performance, majority of the students for all the demographic variables passed 770(83.4%) while minority 153(16.6%) failed with no statistical significance. An overwhelming majority of the students 159(71.3%) were able to pass their licensure examination once with 61(27.4%) writing twice while 3(1.3%) writing thrice. With no statistical significance, majority of the students (49.6%, 48.7% and 58.3% respectively) within the age groups had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while the minority of the students had CGPA below 2.0 with p-value = 0.29.

**Conclusion;** Students' ages had no significance on their CGPA. Sex of the students had significance on their CGPA as most of the male students had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while majority of the female students had CGPA of 2.6-3.0. Again, majority of the students who entered the institution with aggregate 10-16 had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while majority of students who came with aggregate 31 and above had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 with a very high statistical significance. Moreover, most of the students who offered general science at the SHS had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while other courses (Arts, Agric and Home science) had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 with no statistical significance. With no statistical significance, students from all levels of Senior High Schools (Regional, District and Sub-district) fell within the CGPA of 2.6-3.0.

**Recommendations.**

1. Management of the school should not consider the demographic characteristics of the applicants before admission.
2. Student nurses themselves must have adequate time to form their own personal study time table and engage in group studies in order to improve their CGPA and licensure performance.
3. The school administrators should ensure that adequate or conducive environment with library and internet facilities are available to encourage the students to learn.
4. The administrators should keep students' files well with all the necessary data for easy accessibility.
5. Future research should cover all NAC past students for holistic policy formulation.

Keywords; Predictors, Academic Performance, Health Training Institutions, Nursing and Midwifery, Dunkwa On Offin.

**1.1 INTRODUCTION**

The standard of nursing education in Ghana is frequently brought to focus by stakeholders in the educational industry. This is obviously due to the emphasis placed on education by every nation as a means of economic, social and political development (Adu-Gyamfi & Brenya, 2016). The public outcry of the poor academic performance of nursing students in their semester and licensure examinations arouses researchers' curiosity to uncover the root cause of the problem (Dube & Mlotshwa, 2018). For example, Adatara et al (2021) expresses great concern as regards the declining quality of education of Ghanaian graduate nurses and midwives with little technical know-how. This was considered as a serious setback in the health care development of Ghana.

According to Dube et al (2018), academic performance is the ability of students to cope with their studies as well as how various tasks assigned to them by their instructors are accomplished. It also includes the ability to study and remember facts and to be able to express such knowledge gained either verbally, in writing or practically (Darling-Hammond et al, 2019). While Cantor et al (2018) describe poor academic performance as a performance that is adjudged by the examiner as falling below an expected standard, Osher et al (2018) decried the poor academic performance in specialized sciences such as Medicine, Surgery, Public Health, Psychiatry etc.

Academic failure is not only frustrating to the students and parents but leaves a devastating effect on the society in terms of shortage of competent nurses in all spheres of the economy and the nation's health challenges (Adu-Gyamfi & Brenya, 2016). Education at the Nursing Training is expected to be the peak and crown towards higher knowledge, an instrument used to achieve a more rapid economic, social, political, technological, scientific and cultural development of any country (Adu-Gyamfi & Brenya, 2016).

Less than 50 per cent of the 3,223 nursing students who wrote 2011 licensure examination passed to practice as nurses. Out of the total figure, 1,254 candidates, representing 38.9 per cent passed, while the remaining 1,969, representing 61.1 per cent, were referred to rewrite the August, 2011 examination (Bonney, 2011). Responding to the performance of the students, the acting Registrar of the NMC, Mr. Felix Nyante, told the Daily Graphic that the council was not happy with the general performance of the candidates. The poor performance of the candidates in the licensure examination, he said, was the result of a number of perceived related factors which involved the students, tutors, the school curriculum, clinical training sites, as well as the NMC policy or curriculum (Bonney, 2011). The nursing milieu must track the modifications in the healthcare settings to guarantee the continuous production of high quality, secure and efficient patient services. To achieve this, nurses must be prepared with the necessary competencies. Thus, policymakers and educators must primarily evaluate demands for the prospective workforce, based on requirements of the work setting (Ahluwalia, Damberg, Silverman, Motala & Shekelle, 2017).

Comment [WU3]: Use more recent statistics

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The role of the nursing education is to prepare student nurses for professional competence on the field nursing. Nursing education is described as 'a planned educational programme which provides broad and sound foundation for effective practice of nursing (Fawaz et al, 2018).

However, in a situation where this is lacking as a result of poor academic performance, there are likely to be problems at subsequent levels outside the four walls of the institution, especially when the students do not possess adequate knowledge needed to enhance professional skills required in executing basic nursing procedures for health. This study has become necessary, meriting scholarly attention in part because all the student nurses trained would eventually work in the hospitals where precious lives of the patients are deposited in their care. Therefore, a poorly trained nurse becomes a threat to the lives of the patients he/she might be responsible for, which could result to loss of lives and compound the poor state of the health sector (Fawaz et al, 2018). The breakdown of the statistics made available to the Daily Graphic by the Nurses and Midwives Council (NMC) indicated that out of the 2,178 candidates who were presented for the Registered General Nursing examination, 823, representing 37.7 per cent, passed, while 1,355 were referred (Anokye, 2011).

For the Registered Midwifery examination, 679 candidates were presented, with 300, representing 44.1 per cent, passing and 379 being referred, while for the Registered Community Nursing, 45 candidates were presented, out of which 12, representing 26.7 per cent, passed. A total of 321 candidates sat for the Registered Mental Nursing examination and out of the figure 119, representing 37 per cent, passed, while 202 were referred. Failure in licensure and semester examinations is not associated with one nursing school (Adu-Gyamfi & Brenya, 2016). Finding out factors that lead to the poor performance of student nurses in this college is essential so that appropriate recommendations could be made for its rectification.

### **1.3 Significance of the study**

Quality health care is paramount in every country and to achieve this competent nurses are needed. This piece of work is intended to find out the reasons for poor academic performance in both semester and licensure examinations. Obviously, the result of this topic will go a long way of raising the standard of nursing by equipping the various categories of nurses with the requisite knowledge in the profession. It will also reverse the misconception of the public on poor performance both in class and on the ward. Finally, it will serve as a source of reference for the various researchers as well as Nurses, Ministry of Health and Nurses' and Midwives Council in their future studies.

#### **1.4 Purpose of the study**

The study sought to identify the academic performance of past students (RGN and RM) in their semester and licensure examinations at Dunkwa-On-Offin Nurses' and Midwifery Training College.

Comment [WU4]: Goals and outcomes must be aligned

#### **1.5 Objectives of the study**

##### **1.5.1 Main objective**

To assess past students' performance in their semester and licensing examination at Dunkwa Nursing and Midwifery Training College

##### **1.5.2 Specific objectives**

1. To assess past students (RGN 1-5 and RM 1& RM 2) Cumulative Grade Points Average (CGPA) at DNMTC
2. To assess the past students licensure performance at DNMTC
3. To establish any relationship between the demographic characteristics of the students and their CGPA at DNMTC.
4. To establish any relationship between the students demographics and their licensure performance at DNMTC.
5. To establish the correlation between students CGPA and their licensure performance at DNMTC.

#### **1.6 Research questions**

1. What is the past students' cumulative grade points average at DNMTC?
2. What is the students' licensure performance at DNMTC?
3. Is there any relationship between the demographic characteristics of the students and their CGPA at DNMTC?
4. Is there any relationship between the demographic characteristics of the students and their licensure at DNMTC?
5. Is there any correlation between students' CGPA and their licensure performance at DNMTC?

### 1.7 Delimitation

The study was confined at Dunkwa-On-Offin Nursing and Midwifery Training College due to the accessibility and proximity. The study only aimed at assessing students' academic performance in semester and licensure examinations.

### 1.8 Limitations of the study

One apparent limitation had to do with some missing data for the students in their files. It was very difficult to fill in the blank spaces.

Due to the limited time for the study, the researchers could not cover the Nurse Assistant Clinical students as a result of their number of batches.

The researchers simultaneously engaged in the study with other institutional activities like teaching, marking of scripts etc. This consequently cut down the time devoted for the research work. Notwithstanding all these limitations, the researchers were able to handle them and came out with a complete research work as expected.

## METHODOLOGY

### 2.1 Study site

The study was conducted at the Nursing and Midwifery Training College and Dunkwa-On-Offin. The Nursing College established in 2007 as Health Assistant Training School. The school started diploma in registered general nursing in 2011 and now accredited with midwifery programme. Dunkwa Municipal Hospital was and is still being use for the practical aspects of the training. The first and current principal of the college is Mr. David Benjamin Sampson. Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Dunkwa is located at the "heart" of Dunkwa-On-Offin adjacent the

Comment [WU5]: This part should be written shorter

Dunkwa Municipal Hospital. It is situated in the Upper Denkyira East Constituency, a Sub-Metro of Cape coast Metropolitan Assembly. The school has a population of about thousand one hundred and fifty students. The midwifery school is strictly females while nursing is composed of males and females with the females taking the majority part.

It consists of the NurseAssistant Clinical Certificate and two professional sectors which are used together, namely the midwifery and General nursing colleges. It comprises of a centralized administration sector with a formal form of organizing the school. The student body has the Student Representative Council (SRC) and a disciplinary committee which sees to the welfare of the students and channels any information from the administration to the students and vice versa. In general, the administration consists of the Board of Directors, Principal, Deputy Principals, Tutors, students and the non-teaching staff. The school has a written constitution that governs the school and its activities. The school has one administrative blocks for nursing and midwifery, five hostels with four being female hostels and one for the males, demonstration rooms for practical studies and lecture rooms. It has its motto as "Knowledge and Health Promotion Our Priority".

## 2.2 Study Design

Descriptive retrospective cross-sectional design was used for the census record review for the past academic data for the students.

## 2.3 Study Population

The study population was made up of all past diploma students (Registered General Nursing and Registered Midwives at Dunkwa Nursing and Midwifery Training College. The study used secondary data of these students to assess the various objectives of the study. To qualify for any of the programme, the applicant must have a Senior High School's certificate in either General Arts, Pure Science, Agricultural science or Home Economics. The maximum grade for

**Comment [WU6]:** It is necessary to mention the entry and exit criteria

admission is aggregate 24 with admission age between 18 and 35 year. The two programmes offered take duration of three years each for completion.

The Registered General Nursing (RGN) programme comprises both males and females. However, females are always more than males with ratio of 3:1 whilst the Midwifery programme has only females. The curricula for both programmes are based on the Semester and Course Unit System. These curricula competency-based and take into consideration the current needs of society and those of the student nurse. In this regard, greater emphasis is being laid on the community, physical and behavioural sciences as well as spiritual and ethical elements in nursing practice. The clinical practice is student-centered in order to allow for close correlation between theory and practice. Even though supervision and safety of practice in the clinical area should be the joint responsibility of the nurse educators and clinicians, preceptorship will be arranged so that supervisory responsibility will be that of the preceptor. This will be augmented with the students' clinical schedule book for competency building. Candidates will be required to take the prescribed examination conducted by the nursing and Midwifery Council of Ghana. Successful candidates will be required to register with the Council before they can practice as Professional Registered Nurse or Midwife.

#### **2.4 Sample and Sampling procedures**

Since the researchers had all the data needed from the existing records, there was no need to sample the study population therefore all the data were included.

#### **2.5 Data Collection Method and Tool**

All the files for the past students both Registered General Nurses and Registered Midwives were retrieved from the Administrator of the College. The files were distributed among the researchers to assess variables like age, sex, entry grade, SHS attended, CGPA and Licensure results.

#### **2.6 Data Analysis**

Data analysis was done using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 20.0 and findings presented in charts and tables as well as texts.

#### **2.7 Ethical Considerations**

Prior to the data collection, permission was obtained from the Principal of Dunkwa Nursing and Midwifery Training College. The permission obtained helped the researchers to get the needed

**Comment [WU7]:** , it should be written about the following matters in ethical topics:  
Voluntary participation, Informed consent,  
Anonymity, Confidentiality

assistance and cooperation from the school administrator and other staff responsible for the students' files and other vital information.

### DATA ANALYSIS

**Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of the students**


| <b>Variables</b>               | <b>Frequency</b> | <b>Percent(%)</b> |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Age</b>                     |                  |                   |
| 18-21yrs                       | 591              | 64.0              |
| 22-25yrs                       | 296              | 32.1              |
| 26-30yrs                       | 36               | 3.9               |
| Total                          | 923              | 100.0             |
| <b>Sex</b>                     |                  |                   |
| Male                           | 359              | 38.9              |
| Female                         | 564              | 61.1              |
| Total                          | 923              | 100.0             |
| <b>Entry grade of students</b> |                  |                   |
| Aggregate 10-16                | 145              | 15.7              |
| Aggregate 17-23                | 476              | 51.6              |
| Aggregate 24-30                | 260              | 28.2              |

|                           |     |       |
|---------------------------|-----|-------|
| Aggregate 31 and above    | 42  | 4.6   |
| Total                     | 923 | 100.0 |
| <b>SHS course offered</b> |     |       |
| General Science           | 174 | 18.9  |
| General Arts              | 569 | 61.6  |
| General Agric             | 43  | 4.7   |
| Home Science              | 137 | 14.8  |
| Total                     | 923 | 100.0 |
| <b>SHS attended</b>       |     |       |
| Regional                  | 336 | 36.4  |
| District                  | 472 | 51.1  |
| Sub-district              | 115 | 12.5  |
| Total                     | 923 | 100.0 |

Source: Records review, 2019

An overwhelming majority of the students 591(64.0%) were within the age range 18-21 years followed by 22-25 years 296(32.1%) while the minority were within the age range of 26-30 years as shown in table 1. Again, most of the students 564(61.1%) were females while 359(38.9%) were males. Majority of the students 476(51.6%) came into this institution with aggregate 17-23 while 42(4.6%) were admitted with aggregate 31 and above, most of the students 569(61.6%) offered General Arts in their respective Senior High Schools with only 43(4.7%) offering General Agric. More than half of the students 472(51.1%) attended District SHS while 115(12.5%) attended Regional Senior High Schools as detailed in table 1.


**Figure 1: Cumulative Grade Point Average for the students**



Source: Records review, 2019

Figure 1 shows the Cumulative Grade Point Average for past students; majority of them 463(50.2%) had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 followed by 3.1-3.5 thus 356(38.6%) while 48(5.2%) had CGPA of 2.0-2.5.

**Figure 2: Students performance in NMC examination**



Source: Records review, 2019

An overwhelming majority of the students 763(82.7%) were able to pass their licensure examination once, 133(14.4%) students were able to pass in the second chance while minority of the students 27(2.9%) passed during their third chance as shown in figure 2.

**Table 2: Bivariate analysis of relationship between students' demographics and their CGPA**

| Variables  | Cumulative Grade Point Average |           |           |         | Total      | $X^2(p\text{-value})$ |
|------------|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------------------|
|            | 2.0-2.5                        | 2.6-3.0   | 3.1-3.5   | 3.6-4.0 |            |                       |
| <b>Age</b> |                                |           |           |         |            |                       |
| 18-21yrs   | 31(5.2)                        | 302(51.1) | 229(38.7) | 29(4.9) | 591(100.0) |                       |
| 22-25yrs   | 14(4.7)                        | 134(45.3) | 123(41.6) | 25(8.4) | 296(100.0) | 18.564(0.05)          |
| 26-30yrs   | 3(8.3)                         | 27(75.0)  | 4(11.1)   | 2(5.6)  | 36(100.0)  |                       |
| Total      | 48(5.2)                        | 463(50.2) | 356(38.6) | 56(6.1) | 923(100.0) |                       |

| <b>Sex</b>                |         |           |           |         |            |               |
|---------------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|---------------|
| Male                      | 16(4.5) | 155(43.2) | 164(45.7) | 24(6.7) | 359(100.0) |               |
| Female                    | 32(5.7) | 308(54.6) | 192(34.0) | 32(5.7) | 564(100.0) | 14.418(0.02)  |
| Total                     | 48(5.2) | 463(50.2) | 356(38.6) | 56(6.1) | 923(100.0) |               |
| <b>Entry grade</b>        |         |           |           |         |            |               |
| Aggregate 10-16           | 0(0.0)  | 63(43.4)  | 70(48.3)  | 12(8.3) | 145(100.0) |               |
| Aggregate 17-23           | 30(6.3) | 226(47.5) | 183(38.4) | 37(7.8) | 476(100.0) |               |
| Aggregate 24-30           | 16(6.2) | 145(55.8) | 94(36.2)  | 5(1.9)  | 260(100.0) | 33.787(0.000) |
| Aggregate 31 & above      | 2(4.8)  | 29(69.0)  | 9(21.4)   | 2(4.8)  | 42(100.0)  |               |
| Total                     | 48(5.2) | 463(50.2) | 356(38.6) | 56(6.1) | 923(100.0) |               |
| <b>SHS Course offered</b> |         |           |           |         |            |               |
| General Science           | 9(5.2)  | 70(40.2)  | 87(50.0)  | 8(4.6)  | 174(100.0) |               |
| General Arts              | 29(5.1) | 288(50.6) | 215(37.8) | 37(6.5) | 569(100.0) | 12.759(0.07)  |
| General Agric             | 4(9.3)  | 22(51.2)  | 15(34.9)  | 2(4.7)  | 43(100.0)  |               |
| Home Science              | 6(4.4)  | 83(60.6)  | 39(28.5)  | 9(6.6)  | 137(100.0) |               |
| Total                     | 48(5.2) | 463(50.2) | 356(38.6) | 56(6.1) | 923(100.0) |               |
| <b>SHS attended</b>       |         |           |           |         |            |               |
| Regional                  | 17(5.1) | 150(44.6) | 145(43.2) | 24(7.1) | 336(100.0) |               |
| District                  | 27(5.7) | 249(52.8) | 167(35.4) | 29(6.1) | 472(100.0) | 10.268(0.09)  |
| Sub-district              | 4(3.5)  | 64(55.7)  | 44(38.3)  | 3(2.6)  | 115(100.0) |               |
| Total                     | 48(5.2) | 463(50.2) | 356(38.6) | 56(6.1) | 923(100.0) |               |

Source: Records review, 2019

With weak statistical significance, majority of the students (51.1%, 45.3% and 75.0% respectively) within the age groups had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 while the minority of the students had CGPA of 2.0-2.5 with p-value = 0.05. Most of the male students 164(45.7%) had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while majority of the female students 308(54.6%) had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 which was statistically significant (p-value = 0.02) as detailed in table 2. Again, majority of the students 70(48.3%) who entered the institution with aggregate 10-16 had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while majority of students 29(69.0%) who came with aggregate 31 and above had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 with a very high statistical significance (p-value= 0.000). Moreover, most of the students 87(50.0%) who offered general science at the SHS had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while other courses (Arts, Agric and Home science) had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 with no statistical significance (p-value=0.07) as shown in

table 2. With no statistical significance (p-value=0.09), students from all levels of Senior High Schools (Regional 44.6%, District 52.8% and Sub-district 55.7% fell within the CGPA of 2.6-3.0.

**Table 3: Relationship between students' demographics and licensure performance (Bivariate analysis) RGN**

| Variables  | Licensure performance<br>Frequency(%) |           |            | $X^2(p\text{-value})$ |
|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------|
|            | Pass                                  | Fail      | Total      |                       |
| <b>Age</b> |                                       |           |            |                       |
| 18-21yrs   | 496(83.9)                             | 95(16.1)  | 591(100.0) |                       |
| 22-25      | 246(83.1)                             | 50(16.9)  | 296(100.0) | 0.959(0.619)          |
| 26-30      | 28(77.8)                              | 8(22.2)   | 36(100.0)  |                       |
| Total      | 770(83.4)                             | 153(16.6) | 923(100.0) |                       |
| <b>Sex</b> |                                       |           |            |                       |
| Male       | 306(85.2)                             | 53(14.8)  | 359(100.0) |                       |
| Female     | 464(82.3)                             | 100(17.7) | 564(100.0) | 1.397(0.137)          |
| Total      | 770(83.4)                             | 153(16.6) | 923(100.0) |                       |

| <b>Entry grade</b>        |           |           |            |              |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| 10-16                     | 119(82.1) | 26(17.9)  | 145(100.0) |              |
| 17-23                     | 408(85.7) | 68(14.3)  | 476(100.0) |              |
| 24-30                     | 209(80.4) | 51(19.6)  | 260(100.0) | 3.920(0.270) |
| 31 and above              | 34(81.0)  | 8(19.0)   | 42(100.0)  |              |
| Total                     | 770(83.4) | 153(16.6) | 923(100.0) |              |
| <b>SHS Course offered</b> |           |           |            |              |
| G. Science                | 148(85.1) | 26(14.9)  | 174(100.0) |              |
| G. Arts                   | 470(82.6) | 99(17.4)  | 569(100.0) |              |
| G. Agric                  | 38(88.4)  | 5(11.6)   | 43(100.0)  | 1.380(0.692) |
| Home Science              | 114(83.2) | 23(16.8)  | 137(100.0) |              |
| Total                     | 770(83.4) | 153(16.6) | 923(100.0) |              |
| <b>SHS attended</b>       |           |           |            |              |
| Regional                  | 285(84.8) | 51(15.2)  | 336(100.0) |              |
| District                  | 397(84.1) | 75(15.9)  | 472(100.0) | 4.597(0.120) |
| Sub-district              | 88(76.5)  | 27(23.5)  | 115(100.0) |              |
| Total                     | 770(83.4) | 153(16.6) | 923(100.0) |              |

Source: Records review, 2019

\*<sup>1</sup> P-values below 0.05 are statistically significant above 0.05 signify no relationship

On the relationship between the students' demographics and their licensure performance, majority of the students for all the demographic variables passed 770(83.4%) while minority 153(16.6%) failed with no statistical significance (p-values above 0.05) as shown in table 3.

**Table 4: Bivariate analysis for the relationship between students' CGPA and Licensure performance RGN**

| Variables   | Licensure performance |           |         |            | $\chi^2(p\text{-value})$ |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------|------------|--------------------------|
|             | Frequency(%)          |           |         |            |                          |
|             | Pass                  | Twice     | Thrice  | Total      |                          |
| <b>CGPA</b> |                       |           |         |            |                          |
| 2.0-2.5     | 37(77.1)              | 7(14.6)   | 4(8.3)  | 48(100.0)  |                          |
| 2.6-3.0     | 379(81.9)             | 69(14.9)  | 15(3.2) | 463(100.0) | 6.933(0.98)              |
| 3.1-3.5     | 299(84.0)             | 50(14.0)  | 7(2.0)  | 356(100.0) |                          |
| 3.6-4.0     | 48(85.7)              | 7(12.5)   | 1(1.8)  | 56(100.0)  |                          |
| Total       | 763(82.7)             | 133(14.4) | 27(2.9) | 923(100.0) |                          |

Source: Data review, 2019

With no statistical significance (p-value= 0.98) majority of students 48(85.7%) who had CGPA of 3.6-4.0 passed their licensure examinations once while majority of students 15(3.2%) who had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 wrote three times in their licensure exams as detailed in table 4.


**Table 5: Demographic data of Registered Midwifery students**

| <b>Variables</b>   | <b>Frequency</b> | <b>Percent(%)</b> |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Age</b>         |                  |                   |
| 18-21              | 133              | 59.6              |
| 22-25              | 78               | 35.0              |
| 26-30              | 12               | 5.4               |
| Total              | 223              | 100.0             |
| <b>Sex</b>         |                  |                   |
| Female             | 223              | 100.0             |
| Total              | 223              | 100.0             |
| <b>Entry grade</b> |                  |                   |
| Aggregate 11-16    | 17               | 7.6               |

|                           |     |       |
|---------------------------|-----|-------|
| Aggregate 17-23           | 99  | 44.4  |
| Aggregate 24-30           | 94  | 42.2  |
| Aggregate 31& above       | 13  | 5.8   |
| Total                     | 223 | 100.0 |
| <b>SHS course offered</b> |     |       |
| General Science           | 32  | 14.3  |
| General Arts              | 126 | 56.5  |
| General Agric             | 5   | 2.2   |
| Home Science              | 60  | 26.9  |
| Total                     | 223 | 100.0 |
| <b>SHS attended</b>       |     |       |
| Regional                  | 103 | 46.2  |
| District                  | 92  | 41.3  |
| Sub-district              | 28  | 12.6  |
| Total                     | 223 | 100.0 |

Source: Data review, 2019

An overwhelming majority of the students 133(59.6%) were within the age range 18-21 years followed by 22-25 years 78(35.0%) while the minority 12(5.4%) were within the age range of 26-30 years as shown in table 5. Again, all the students 223(100.0%) were females. Majority of the students 99(44.4%) came into DNMTC with aggregate 17-23 while 13(5.8%) were admitted with aggregate 31 and above. Most of the students 126(56.5%) offered General Arts in their respective Senior High Schools with only 5(2.2%) offering General Agric. More than half of the students 103(46.2%) attended Regional SHS while 28(12.6%) attended Sub-district Senior High Schools as detailed in table 5.




**Figure 3: Students' CGPA**

Source: Data review, 2019

Figure 3 shows the Cumulative Grade Point Average for students, majority of them 111(49.8%) had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 followed by 2.6-3.0 that is 69(30.9%) while 1(0.4%) had CGPA of 2.0-2.5.

**Figure 4: Students' NMC performance**



Source: Data review, 2019

An overwhelming majority of the students 159(71.3%) were able to pass their licensure examination once with 61(27.4%) writing twice while 3(1.3%) writing thrice as shown in figure 2 below.

**Table 6: Bivariate analysis for relationship between students' demographic data and their CGPA RM**

| Variables                 | Cumulative Grade Point Average |         |          |           |          | Total      | X <sup>2</sup> (p-value) |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|--------------------------|
|                           | Frequency(%)                   |         |          |           |          |            |                          |
| Age                       | Below 2.0                      | 2.0-2.5 | 2.6-3.0  | 3.1-3.5   | 3.6-4.0  | Total      |                          |
| 18-21                     | 3(2.3)                         | 0(0.0)  | 36(27.1) | 66(49.6)  | 28(21.1) | 133(100.0) |                          |
| 22-25                     | 1(1.3)                         | 1(1.3)  | 29(37.2) | 38(48.7)  | 9(11.5)  | 78(100.0)  | 7.365(0.29)              |
| 26-30                     | 0(0.0)                         | 0(0.0)  | 4(33.3)  | 7(58.3)   | 1(8.3)   | 12(100.0)  |                          |
| Total                     | 4(1.8)                         | 1(1.30) | 69(30.9) | 111(9.8)  | 38(17.0) | 223(100.0) |                          |
| <b>Entry grade</b>        |                                |         |          |           |          |            |                          |
| 11-16                     | 2(11.8)                        | 0(0.0)  | 2(11.8)  | 9(52.9)   | 4(23.5)  | 17(100.)   |                          |
| 17-23                     | 2(2.0)                         | 1(1.0)  | 30(30.3) | 49(49.5)  | 17(17.2) | 99(100.0)  | 16.366(0.22)             |
| 24-30                     | 0(0.0)                         | 0(0.0)  | 32(34.0) | 46(48.9)  | 16(17.0) | 94(100.)   |                          |
| 31 & above                | 0(0.0)                         | 0(0.0)  | 5(38.5)  | 7(53.8)   | 1(7.7)   | 13(100.)   |                          |
| Total                     | 4(1.8)                         | 1(0.4)  | 69(30.9) | 111(49.8) | 38(17.0) | 223(100.0) |                          |
| <b>SHS Course offered</b> |                                |         |          |           |          |            |                          |
| G. Science                | 1(3.1)                         | 0(0.0)  | 7(21.9)  | 16(50.0)  | 8(25.0)  | 32(100.0)  |                          |
| G. Arts                   | 3(2.4)                         | 0(0.0)  | 41(32.5) | 60(47.6)  | 22(17.5) | 126(100.0) | 8.452(0.75)              |
| G. Agric                  | 0(0.0)                         | 0(0.0)  | 2(40.0)  | 3(60.0)   | 0(0.0)   | 5(100.0)   |                          |
| Home Science              | 0(0.0)                         | 1(1.7)  | 19(31.7) | 32(53.3)  | 8(13.3)  | 60(100.0)  |                          |
| Total                     | 4(1.8)                         | 1(0.4)  | 69(30.9) | 111(49.8) | 38(17.0) | 223(100.0) |                          |
| <b>SHS attended</b>       |                                |         |          |           |          |            |                          |
| Regional                  | 2(1.9)                         | 0(0.0)  | 32(31.1) | 50(48.5)  | 19(18.4) | 103(100.0) |                          |

|              |        |        |          |           |          |            |               |
|--------------|--------|--------|----------|-----------|----------|------------|---------------|
| District     | 1(1.1) | 0(0.0) | 28(30.4) | 51(55.4)  | 12(13.0) | 92(100.0)  | 11.506(0.855) |
| Sub-district | 1(3.6) | 1(3.6) | 9(32.1)  | 10(35.7)  | 7(25.0)  | 28(100.0)  |               |
| Total        | 4(1.8) | 1(0.4) | 69(30.9) | 111(49.8) | 38(17.0) | 223(100.0) |               |

Source: Data review, 2019

\*<sup>1</sup> P-values below 0.05 are statistically significant above 0.05 signify no relationship

With no statistical significance, majority of the students (49.6%, 48.7% and 58.3% respectively) within the age groups had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while the minority of the students had CGPA below 2.0 with p-value = 0.29 as detailed in table 2. Again, majority of the students 9(52.9%) who entered the institution with aggregate 11-16 had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while majority of students 7(53.8%) who came with aggregate 31 and above also had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 without statistical significance (p-value= 0.22). Moreover, most of the students 16(50.0%) who offered general science at the SHS had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while other courses (Arts, Agric and Home science) also fell within same CGPA range (3.1-3.5) with no statistical significance (p-value=0.75) as shown in table 2. With no statistical significance (p-value=0.855), students from all levels of Senior High Schools (Regional (48.5%), District (55.4%) and Sub-district (35.7%)) fell within the CGPA of 3.1-3.5.

**Table 7: Bivariate analysis for relationship between students' demographics and Licensure performance**

| Variables          | Licensure performance |          |            | X <sup>2</sup> (p-value) |
|--------------------|-----------------------|----------|------------|--------------------------|
|                    | Frequency(%)          |          |            |                          |
| Age                | Pass                  | Fail     | Total      |                          |
| 18-21              | 101(75.9)             | 32(24.1) | 133(100.0) |                          |
| 22-25              | 53(67.9)              | 25(32.1) | 78(100.0)  | 3.690(0.904)             |
| 26-30              | 11(91.7)              | 1(8.3)   | 12(100.0)  |                          |
| Total              | 165(74.0)             | 58(26.0) | 223(100.0) |                          |
| <b>Entry grade</b> |                       |          |            |                          |
| 11-16              | 12(70.6)              | 5(29.4)  | 17(100.0)  |                          |
| 17-23              | 76(76.8)              | 23(23.2) | 99(100.0)  | 0.917(0.798)             |
| 24-30              | 67(71.3)              | 27(28.7) | 94(100.0)  |                          |

|                           |           |          |            |              |
|---------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|--------------|
| 31 & above                | 10(76.9)  | 3(23.1)  | 13(100.0)  |              |
| Total                     | 165(74.0) | 58(26.0) | 223(100.0) |              |
| <b>SHS Course offered</b> |           |          |            |              |
| G. Science                | 29(90.6)  | 3(9.4)   | 32(100.0)  |              |
| G. Arts                   | 90(71.4)  | 36(28.6) | 126(100.0) |              |
| G. Agric                  | 5(100.0)  | 0(0.0)   | 5(100.0)   | 7.786(0.111) |
| Home Science              | 41(68.3)  | 19(31.7) | 60(100.0)  |              |
| Total                     | 165(74.0) | 58(26.0) | 223(100.0) |              |
| <b>SHS attended</b>       |           |          |            |              |
| Regional                  | 80(77.7)  | 23(23.3) | 103(100.0) |              |
| District                  | 67(72.8)  | 25(27.2) | 92(100.0)  | 2.160(0.150) |
| Sub-district              | 18(64.3)  | 10(35.7) | 28(100.0)  |              |
| Total                     | 165(74.0) | 58(26.0) | 223(100.0) |              |

Source: Data review, 2019

\*1 P-values below 0.05 are statistically significant above 0.05 signify no relationship

On the relationship between the students' demographics and their licensure performance, majority of the students for all the demographic variables passed 165(74.0%) while minority 58(26.0%) failed with no statistical significance (p-values above 0.05) as shown in table 3.

**Table 8: Bivariate analysis for relationship between students' CGPA and Licensure performance**

| Variables   | Licensure performance |          |        |            | X <sup>2</sup> (p-value) |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------|--------|------------|--------------------------|
|             | Pass                  | Twice    | Thrice | Total      |                          |
| <b>CGPA</b> |                       |          |        |            |                          |
| Below 2.0   | 2(50.0)               | 2(50.0)  | 0(0.0) | 4(100.0)   |                          |
| 2.0-2.5     | 0(0.0)                | 1(100.0) | 3(4.3) | 1(100.0)   | 25.543(0.008)            |
| 2.6-3.0     | 40(58.0)              | 26(37.7) | 0(0.0) | 69(100.0)  |                          |
| 3.1-3.5     | 87(78.4)              | 24(21.6) | 0(0.0) | 111(100.0) |                          |
| 3.6-4.0     | 30(78.9)              | 8(21.1)  | 0(0.0) | 38(100.0)  |                          |
| Total       | 159(71.3)             | 61(27.4) | 3(1.3) | 223(100.0) |                          |

Source: Data review, 2019

*\*1 P-values below 0.05 are statistically significant above 0.05 signify no relationship*

Without statistical significance (p-value= 0.008) majority of students 30(78.9%) who had CGPA of 3.6-4.0 passed their licensure examination once while 3(4.3%) of students who had CGPA of 2.0-2.5 wrote thrice before passing their licensure exams as detailed in table 4.

#### **4.1 DISCUSSION**

The first objective of the study assessed past students' cumulative grade point average. The finding revealed that most of the students had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 followed by 3.1-3.5 thus while few of them had CGPA of 2.0-2.5. An overwhelming majority of the students were able to pass their licensure examination once with few writing it twice. This implies that most of the students were within the average score range putting the academic activities in the college at a good position. When academic institutions confer a diploma or a certificate on a student this is an indication to society in general that this student has what it takes in terms of the capability, knowledge and skills in that specified field or discipline (Duckworth, 2016). Academic success among nursing students remains complex and multidimensional, and although demographic factors remain essential in academic performance, there are an array of different factors, such as personality, behavioural, self-efficacy and cognitive factors, that also impact successful outcomes according to Pitt et al, (2012).

Within Jeffery's (2015) Universal Retention and Success model, which focuses on nursing student success, completions, and longer-term retention, it has been indicated that students need the capacity to meet the challenge of tasks, need to be committed and diligent, and have high

levels of motivation to attain outcomes with persistence. Academic self-concept and motivation have been found to be a strong predictor of academic achievement in general and academic success amongst nursing students more specifically (Khalaila, 2015; Radi, 2013).

The findings also revealed that demographic characteristics of the students did not have any influence on their academic performances. This might be due to the fact that students were given the same opportunities for their academic endeavors. These findings are in sharp contrast with some studies where sociodemographic features of the students had influence on their academic performance. Duckworth et al. (2016), in her seminal work, brought to light 'grit', which is the perseverance, passion, and commitment to meet long term goals despite adversity and leads to success. As such, it was demonstrated that grit predicted individual achievement more than talent alone when it came to measures of success in both academic and non-academic outcomes. For example, higher levels of grit was shown to predict better performance among students, increased numbers of high school graduates, higher Grade Point Averages among university students, greater retention among military cadets, determine longer term employment outcomes, have increased effectiveness and retention among novice teachers, and lastly it has been demonstrated that grittier men are less likely to divorce than those who are less gritty (Kelly et al., 2014).

Alshammari et al, (2017) found that there is a link between selection criteria and the consequent academic performance of the students in the General Nursing Diploma at the Nursing Training Colleges in Ghana. There are certain factors which if taken into consideration will assist to ensure that only quality students are selected and these factors include amongst others gender, age, entry qualifications and previous academic performance. Institutional resources are items such as overhead projectors, marker boards, transparencies and diagrams that the teacher will need in his intended learning activity and which will enable him to achieve his lesson outcomes (Wolters et al, 2015). The nurse educator's presence in the clinical area is prudent as it affords her quality time to support the students and give them whatever guidance and supervision that they need. These authors assert that practical placements are more difficult to take stock of. Also reiterate that the practical placements must be more suitable for the intended learning outcomes. This entails looking closely at agreements with service providers, scrutinizing patients' profiles in different units, and the situational analysis of the facilities being utilised for practical placements (Jeffreys, 2015).

Teachers who make use of a participative learning approach establish a dynamic relationship between themselves and students (Pelter & Pengpid, 2015). In this type of approach, teachers and students' alternate in dominating the teaching scene as at one stage students are in the driver's seat while at another stage the teacher will lead the process. There will be instances where the teacher will take students on board and deliberate on the content of their learning with them (Pelter & Pengpid, 2015).

## **5.2 Summary**

The majority of the students (both RGN and RM) were within the age range of 18-21 years of age. Again, most of the students were females. This implies that the school always admits more females than males with entry grade of between 17-23 aggregate. Majority offered General Arts and attended District Senior High Schools. Majority of RGN and RM had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 while the minority had CGPA of 2.0-2.5. An overwhelming majority of the students were able to pass their licensure examination once, with some passing during their chance while minority of the students passed during their third chance.

Students' ages had no significance on their CGPA. Sex of the students had significance on their CGPA as most of the male students had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while majority of the female students had CGPA of 2.6-3.0. Again, majority of the students who entered the institution with aggregate 10-16 had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while majority of students who came with aggregate 31 and above had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 with a very high statistical significance.

Moreover, most of the students who offered general science at the SHS had CGPA of 3.1-3.5 while other courses (Arts, Agric and Home science) had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 with no statistical significance. With no statistical significance, students from all levels of Senior High Schools (Regional, District and Sub-district) fell within the CGPA of 2.6-3.0.

On the relationship between the students' demographics and their licensure performance, majority of the students for all the demographic variables passed while minority failed with no statistical significance. Student passing his or her licensure exams is not dependent on any demographic characteristics. With no statistical significance majority of students who had CGPA of 3.6-4.0 passed their licensure examinations once while majority of students who had CGPA of 2.6-3.0 wrote three times in their licensure exams. Though the difference was not significant, however, high CGPA helps students to pass their licensure once.

### **5.3 Conclusion**

The role of academic performance can be considered an important variable in determining the success of nursing students in Nursing Training Colleges. The findings suggest that as a students' academic performance increased, so too does their GPA improved. Interestingly, perceived demographic characteristics of students that were thought to influence GPA were not found to be correlated with students GPA. A closer look at the findings from this study suggests that there was no significant difference in perceived performance across the study with regard to perceived academic elements of the program. The finding is linked to students being engaged in the consolidation elements that have been purposefully embedded within the specific curriculum examined. These include, an increase in the amount of time students spend in clinical practice across the year, in particular the final semester of study, where there is a strong emphasis on students being provided opportunities to apply both the theoretical and skill based components that they have learnt across the program in both actual and simulated clinical practicum.

### **5.4 Recommendations**

1. Management of the school should not consider the demographic characteristics of the applicants before admission.
2. Student nurses themselves must have adequate time to form their own personal study time table and engage in group studies in order to improve their CGPA and licensure performance.
3. The school administrators should ensure that adequate or conducive environment with library and internet facilities are available to encourage the students to learn.
4. The administrators should keep students' files well with all the necessary data for easy accessibility.
5. Future research should cover all NAC past students for holistic policy formulation.

## REFERENCES

Comment [WU8]: Use newer articles. Most of the articles are old

- Ahluwalia, S., Damberg, C. H., Silverman, M., Motala, A & Shekelle, P. (2017). What defines a high-performing health care delivery system: A systematic review. *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 43 (2017), pp. 450-459
- Alshammari, F., Reynita Saguban, R., Pasay-an, E., Altheban, A., & Layla, S (2017). Factors affecting the academic performance of student nurses: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of Nursing Education and Practice*, 10.5430/jnep.v8n1p60
- Adu-Gyamfi, S. & Brenya E. (2016). Nursing in Ghana: A Search for Florence Nightingale in and African City. *International Scholarly Research Notices*:10.1155/2016/9754845
- Dube, M. B. & Mlotshwa, P. R. (2018). Factors influencing enrolled nursing students' academic performance at a selected private nursing education institution in KwaZulu-Natal. *National Library of Medicine*.10.4102/curationis.v41i1.1850
- Adatara, P., Philemon, Amooba, P. A., Afaya, A., Salia, S. M., Avane, M. A., Kuug, A., Maalman, R. S., Atakro, C. A., Attachie, I. T., & Atachie, C. (2021). Challenges experienced by midwives working in rural communities in the Upper East Region of Ghana: a qualitative study. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*. 287 (2021)
- Darlin-Hammond, L., Flook, L., Cook-Harvey, C., Barron, B., & Osher, D. (2019). Implications for educational practice of the science of learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*. 10.1080/10888691.2018.1537791

- Cantor, P., Osher, D., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2018). Malleability, plasticity, and individuality: How children learn and develop in context. *Applied Developmental Science*. doi:10.1080/10888691.2017.1398649
- Chamorro-Premuzic, T., & Furnham, A. (2003). Personality predicts academic performance: Evidence from two longitudinal university samples. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 37(4), 319-338. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566(02)00578-0
- Credé, M., Tynan, M. C., & Harms, P. D. (2017). Much ado about grit: A meta-analytic synthesis of the grit literature. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 113(3), 492.
- Duckworth, A. L., & Quinn, P. D. (2009). Development and validation of the Short Grit Scale (GRIT-S). *Journal of personality assessment*, 91(2), 166-174.
- Duckworth, A. L., Peterson, C., Matthews, M. D., & Kelly, D. R. (2007). Grit: perseverance and passion for long-term goals. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 92(6), 1087-1011.
- Duckworth, A. (2016). *Grit: The power of passion and perseverance*. New York, NY: Scribner
- Duckworth, A. L., Kirby, T. A., Tsukayama, E., Berstein, H., Ericsson, K. A. J. S. p., & science, p. (2011). Deliberate practice spells success: Why grittier competitors triumph at the National Spelling Bee. 2(2), 174-181.
- Duckworth, A. (2016). *Grit: The power of passion and perseverance*. New York, NY: Scribner
- Dweck, C. (2008). Can personality be changed? The role of beliefs in personality and change. *Current directions in psychological science*, 17(6), 391-394.
- Dweck, C. S. (2010). Mind-sets. *Principal leadership*, 10(5), 26-29.

- Eskreis-Winkler, L., Duckworth, A., Shulman, E., & Beal, S. (2014). The grit effect: predicting retention in the military, the workplace, school and marriage. *Frontiers in psychology*, 5(36-48). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00036
- Eskreis-Winkler, L., Duckworth, A., Shulman, E., & Beal, S. (2014). The grit effect: predicting retention in the military, the workplace, school and marriage. *Frontiers in psychology*, 5(36-48). doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00036
- Fawaz, A. M., Hamdan-Mansour, A. M., & Tassi, A. (2018). Challenges facing nursing education in the advanced healthcare environment. *International Journal of Africa Nursing Sciences*. 105-110
- Farrington, C. A., Roderick, M., Allensworth, E., Nagaoka, J., Keyes, T. S., Johnson, D. W., & Beechum, N. O. (2012). *Teaching adolescents to become learners. The role of noncognitive factors in shaping school performance: A critical literature review*. Chicago: University of Chicago Consortium on Chicago School Research.
- Jeffreys, M. R. (2015). Jeffreys's Nursing Universal Retention and Success model: Overview and action ideas for optimizing outcomes A–Z. *Nurse education today*, 35(3), 425-431. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2014.11.004
- Jeffreys, M. R. (2015). Jeffreys's Nursing Universal Retention and Success model: Overview and action ideas for optimizing outcomes A–Z. *Nurse education today*, 35(3), 425-431. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2014.11.004
- Khalaila, R. (2015). The relationship between academic self-concept, intrinsic motivation, test anxiety, and academic achievement among nursing students: Mediating and moderating effects. *Nurse education today*, 35(3), 432-438.

- Khalaila, R. (2015). The relationship between academic self-concept, intrinsic motivation, test anxiety, and academic achievement among nursing students: Mediating and moderating effects. *Nurse education today*, 35(3), 432-438.
- Kelly, D. R., Matthews, M. D., & Bartone, P. T. (2014). Grit and hardiness as predictors of performance among West Point cadets. *Military Psychology*, 26(4), 327-342.
- Kelly, D. R., Matthews, M. D., & Bartone, P. T. (2014). Grit and hardiness as predictors of performance among West Point cadets. *Military Psychology*, 26(4), 327-342.
- Levett-Jones, T., Hoffman, K., Dempsey, J., Jeong, S. Y.-S., Noble, D., Norton, C. A., Roche, J., & Hickey, N. (2010). The 'five rights' of clinical reasoning: An educational model to enhance nursing students' ability to identify and manage clinically 'at risk' patients. *Nurse education today*, 30(6), 515-520. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2009.10.020>
- Martin, A. J., & Marsh, H. W. (2008). Academic buoyancy: Towards an understanding of students' everyday academic resilience. *Journal of school psychology*, 46(1), 53-83.
- Martin, A. J., & Marsh, H. W. (2009). Academic resilience and academic buoyancy: Multidimensional and hierarchical conceptual framing of causes, correlates and cognate constructs. *Oxford Review of Education*, 35(3), 353-370.
- McCabe, E. M. (2016). Can grit be nurtured in undergraduate nursing students? *NASN School Nurse*, 31(3), 144-146.
- McLaughlin, K., Moutray, M., & Muldoon, O. T. (2008). The role of personality and self-efficacy in the selection and retention of successful nursing students: a longitudinal study. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 61(2), 211-221.

- McCabe, E. M. (2016). Can grit be nurtured in undergraduate nursing students? *NASN School Nurse*, 31(3), 144-146.
- Osher, D., Cantor, P., Berg, J., Steyer, L., & Rose, T. (2018). Drivers of human development: How relationships and context shape learning and development. *Applied Developmental Science*. DOI: 10.1080/10888691.2017.1398650
- Pitt, V., Powis, D., Levett-Jones, T., & Hunter, S. J. N. e. t. (2012). Factors influencing nursing students' academic and clinical performance and attrition: an integrative literature review. 32(8), 903-913.
- Radi, S. M. (2013). Baccalaureate nursing students' motivation for attending university and its relationship with their academic achievement. *International Journal of Education and Research*, 1(7), 1-12.
- Robertson-Kraft, C., & Duckworth, A. L. (2014). True grit: Trait-level perseverance and passion for long-term goals predicts effectiveness and retention among novice teachers. *Teachers College record (1970)*, 116(3).
- Stoffel, J. M., & Cain, J. (2018). Review of grit Valiente, C., Lemery-Chalfant, K., & Swanson, J. (2010). Prediction of kindergartners' academic achievement from their effortful control and emotionality: Evidence for direct and moderated relations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102(3), 550-560. and resilience literature within health professions education. *American journal of pharmaceutical education*, 82(2), 6150.
- Smith, T., Sutton, K., Pit, S., Muyambi, K., Terry, D., Farthing, A., Courtney, C., & Cross, M. (2018). Health professional students' rural placement satisfaction and rural practice

intentions: A national cross-sectional survey. *Australian Journal of Rural Health*, 26(1), 26-32.

Stoffel, J. M., & Cain, J. (2018). Review of grit and resilience literature within health professions education. *American journal of pharmaceutical education*, 82(2), 6150.

Snipes, J., Fancsali, C., & Stoker, G. (2012). *Student Academic Mindset Interventions: A Review of the Current Landscape*. Retrieved from San Francisco:  
[https://www.impaqint.com/sites/default/files/project-reports/impaq%20student%20academic%20mindset%20interventions%20report%20august%202012\\_0.pdf](https://www.impaqint.com/sites/default/files/project-reports/impaq%20student%20academic%20mindset%20interventions%20report%20august%202012_0.pdf)

Terry, D., Peck, B., Smith, A., Stevenson, T., & Baker, E. (2019). Is nursing student personality important for considering a rural career? *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 33(5), 617-634.

van den Berg, G., & Coetzee, L. R. (2014). Academic self-concept and motivation as predictors of academic achievement. *International Journal of Educational Sciences*, 6(3), 469-478.

Valiente, C., Lemery-Chalfant, K., & Swanson, J. (2010). Prediction of kindergartners' academic achievement from their effortful control and emotionality: Evidence for direct and moderated relations. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102(3), 550-560.

Wang, S., Zhou, M., Chen, T., Yang, X., Chen, G., Wang, M., & Gong, Q. (2016). Grit and the brain: spontaneous activity of the dorsomedial prefrontal cortex mediates the relationship between the trait grit and academic performance. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 12(3), 452-460. doi:10.1093/scan/nsw145 %J Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience

Waxman, H. C., Gray, J. P., & Padron, Y. N. (2003). *Review of research on educational resilience*. Retrieved from Washington, DC

UNDER PEER REVIEW