

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_98621
Title of the Manuscript:	Entry Requirements as a Predictor of Academic Performance in Semester and State Licensing Examination. A Case Study at Nursing and Midwifery Training College, Dunkwa-On –Offin, Central Region, Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrnh.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>-yes 2-yes 3-yes 4-yes 5-yes 6- yes and some need update</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Minor REVISION comments Editing and language revision Abstract: Complete related to all research items. Introduction/Background: Complete related to study variables. Significance of the study: Clear and related to research subject Aim of the study: Clear and can be measured Research question /hypotheses: Applicable related to study aim and can be measurable Methodology: setting should be giving rational why to select this place?. And correct sample type. And tool need for more explanation about content. Results: Accurate Discussion: Comprehensive related to each items, using different studies but little researchers' opinion Conclusion: Conclusion answered for all study hypotheses, and recommendations are applicable to study results. References: All references are available but need updated.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Manal Mohamed Ahmed Ayed</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sohag University, Egypt</b>