

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_99330
Title of the Manuscript:	FACTORS INFLUENCING THE UTILIZATION OF INSECTICIDE TREATED BED NETS IN THE PREVENTION OF MALARIA IN THE BEREKUM EAST MUNICIPALITY, GHANA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrid.com/index.php/AJRID/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<p>To my opinion, this manuscript revealed real time difficulties that the government face in eliminating malaria; programs do not always run smoothly at the grassroots level. therefore, researchers through this research have contributed to the scientific community</p> <p>Yes it is</p> <p>Yes it is</p> <p>Yes, of course</p> <p>Yes I do</p> <p>The author(s) use relevant and recent articles as references; but I think they have to check the instruction for authors and adjust/adapt their way of writing their references with the journal's policy</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes it is. (but I suggest them to change some minor word into a proper one. For example "death" with "Mortality"	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	To my opinion, this manuscript is good and give contribution to the bulk of science. Their result can be used for other researcher to explore deeper, or for the stake holder to improve the management of ITN	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Forman Erwin Siagian
Department, University & Country	Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Indonesia