

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_99069
Title of the Manuscript:	Repercussions of Russia-Ukraine Conflict: Indian response to attain Trade and Industrial Sustainability
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, it is the hot topic of the day. Researcher shows the change of real GDP percentage and inflation rates of different countries. The paper presents the India's top five Trade partners for 5 years also. The Researcher wonderfully presents the concepts for Repercussions of Russia-Ukraine Conflict: Indian response to attain Trade and Industrial Sustainability 2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. 3. Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. 4. Need of the Study is not written in the article separately. The researcher has to write Research Methodology, Source of Data, Sampling Technique, Period of Study, etc. 5. Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references given by the researcher are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagamani K N
Department, University & Country	Government First Grade College, India

Review Form 1.7