

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_99611
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE AMONG AGRICULTURE STUDENTS ON SPIRITUAL LIFE SKILLS
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>It's important for scientific community</b></p> <p><b>The title of the article is suitable</b></p> <p><b>The abstract is comprehensive</b></p> <p><b>The subsections and structure are appropriate</b></p> <p><b>The manuscript is scientifically correct</b></p> <p><b>The references are recent ,very interesting and useful</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The English quality is suitable</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article is innovative, unpublished and very necessary, it enters into a wide and intense current of studies, related to the approach of psychology and neuroscience on the religious phenomenon and spirituality. After its inclusion within the realities that can be analyzed by psychology, the empirical data that is being collected deepens its potential effectiveness in terms of health. Finally, the neuroscientific perspective, progressively more present in the development of psychology, presents the inclusion of the human spiritual/religious reality in the field of psychological reflection, inquiring about the cerebral implications associated with its phenomenology.</p> <p>Consequently, both from this perspective, which excludes considering the religious per se in terms of pathology. Empirical clinical research, in increasing progress, we are witnessing a scenario in which spirituality/religiosity is advancing as a positive element, synergistically allied to psychotherapeutic praxis.</p> <p>It would be very important not to lose training in religious and spiritual aspects at an educational level, numerous studies speak of the positive effect of spiritual positions and the transcendent vision they have on health, performance, coexistence and the development of skills and attitudes such as empathy. that will help social, technical and educational improvement and common well-being, health and happiness and even its effect on extending life</p> <p>It is important that the experiences developed in the article on how to influence agricultural production and food quality from spiritual positions and with the use of meditation in all processes are known.</p> <p>The authors must be congratulated for developing this theme, and for the interesting and relevant empirical studies carried out with university students and the results and comments provided. This article will bring visibility to the journal, due to the large number of interested parties in this perspective</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amador Cernuda-Lago</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>King Juan Carlos University, Spain</b>