

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_98949
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Causes and Consequences Inter-State Migration and Reverse Migration in Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSSE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, I find it important specially in the field of Business, Economics and Government 2. The Title should be improved...Tamil Nadu, India 3. The Abstract needs to be improved. It should provide the summary of the findings 4. Subsections and structure need improvement. Try to present the findings based on your objectives. 5. I believe it is scientifically correct because the issue of migration is world-wide and related to economy as well as Human Rights. 6. References are sufficient. 7. Other comments: There are terms included in the paper but should be clear to the readers, specially those who do not come from the place where the study is focused. They should be defined NOT ABBREVIATED. Terms like VUCA; lakhs migrants; UPR; PLFS; ISM labourers 8. There are minor typographical errors but should not be tolerated.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carmen R. Alviar
Department, University & Country	Immaculate Heart of Mary College, Philippines