

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_96525
Title of the Manuscript:	Why Fiscal Policies are Pro-cyclical in Economic and Monetary Community of central Africa?
Type of the Article	Original research article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. This Manuscript is a good report dealing with important Technical analysis.</p> <p>2. Suitable title for Research objectives</p> <p>3. Yes, abstract sought to focus on the study</p> <p>4. Yes as per the requirement of recent trend and article title structure and sub section suit the matter.</p> <p>5. Yes, because I find no fault whatsoever with the methods, data analysis, or conclusions.</p> <p>7. No suggestions</p> <p>But In essence the Paper work is very effective for now a day's publication. As with all work coming from this particular group, is fundamentally sound for publication</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Please change all of the tenses in the	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		
	Theoretical and technical research topics are very novel for publication	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chhaya Patel
Department, University & Country	Sankalchand Patel University, India