

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_99486</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Newer Possibilities for Proximal Box Elevation – Tooth Substrate and comparison with Conventional materials</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>Yes distal marginal elevation is a clinically relevant topic in restorative dentistry.</b> 2. <b>It may be better to consider the design of study mentioned as ex vivo or invitro ..more so invitro</b> 3. <b>Yes. Statistical analysis of results can be better described</b> 4. <b>Yes but the effect size and p values can be better described in results</b> 5. <b>Yes the article is scientifically accurate</b> 6. <b>Yes. The vancouver formatting can be uniformly implemented across all references</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes . The article is grammatically acceptable.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Results can be better described in terms of effect size and p values. Please specify invitro study if the the study is on extracted teeth	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Vineet Vaman Kini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>MGM Dental College and Hospital, India</b>