

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99524
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Heterosis and Heterobeltiosis for Earliness, Yield and its contributing traits in okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- This article will provide to scientific community 2- The title is adapted 3- Yes, It is 4- It should follow request of journal form 5- Yes I do 6- References should be added some update articles Addition suggestions Material and methods should be mentioned 13 parent having homogenous (phenotype and genotype) about trait observations. Short brief mentions all trait observations follow which norms and commercial check (Punjab 8) Results and discussion should be provided who has similar results in past for each trait observation. Give the reasons why negative and positive heterosis May be added a trait observation (duration)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nguyen Quang Thinh
Department, University & Country	Field Crops Research Institute, Vietnam