

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99524
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Heterosis and Heterobeltiosis for Earliness, Yield and its contributing traits in okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L. Moench)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This is a carefully done paper and the research questions are of considerable valuable. In this paper, we could get a clear understanding of the authors' research questions, methods, results and conclusion But 1. Abstract should be written in right way. 2. There are some grammatical errors which should be corrected. 3. Table of Line Tester analysis with parents should be included. 4. Tables for GCA and SCA must be included and discussed.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Fair but needs to be revised	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farshid Talat
Department, University & Country	AREEO, Iran