

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99160
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of long-term nutrient management practices on physical properties in a Vertisol of central India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes. There is a scientific novelty in the research but the article structure/study design is improper.</b> <b>Yes.</b> <b>No. The abstract must be rewritten comprehensively by following the sequence-conceptual background-principal objective-methodology-major findings-conclusion/recommendation. Moderate. Justification of issues, literature review, and research gap should be added in the introduction section. The objective should be separated and comprehensive. Justification for the selection of the study area is required to be mentioned. Insert a separate table mentioning the justification of the selection of the elements and physical properties with proper sources/citations. Results and discussion should be separated.</b> <b>A literature review and proper sources/citations in the introduction and methodology must be required.</b> <b>Keywords should be 5-7 key terms.</b> <b>Import a map of the study area.</b> <b>Mention the details methods of ANOVA and RBD with proper citations.</b> <b>The discussion part is just a lucid interpretation of the results and the conclusion is not the true reflection of the results and discussion. Rewrite those properly.</b> <b>Mention the source(s) of the Table-1</b> <b>(Follow the highlights in the attached pdf).</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Check once again.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The research topic is interesting for scientific knowledge . Major revisions are required for further progress of the manuscript.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tanmoy Basu
Department, University & Country	Katwa College, The University of Burdwan, India