

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99010
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL AND CROP PARAMETERS RELATED TO THE DESIGN OF A POWER TILLER-OPERATED GROUNDNUT DIGGER
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes No, (ANALYSIS OF SOIL AND CROP PARAMETERS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF POWER TILLER-OPERATED GROUNDNUT DIGGER) Yes Yes Yes While one reference being outdated, the others are adequate for the manuscript. As the bibliographies were sorted alphabetically, the type of referencing used in the manuscript shouldn't be necessary.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript was written well and with science in mind. A minor change is required because the paragraphs are too short. There should be more lines each paragraph than there were in the manuscript. Also, as the author was talking about the link between two variables, the analysis should be done in terms of using any analysis tools, such Chi-square, regression, and so forth, to demonstrate the relationship between the two variables.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Quadri Moruff Abidemi
Department, University & Country	College of Health Science and Technology, Nigeria