

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97934
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of integrated Zinc and Iron management on biochemical attributes and nutrient uptake of marigold cv. Local Orange
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Yes the manuscript has new knowledge which will contribute to the scientific world	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	I have suggested an alternative title (see on the comments)	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The abstract is comprehensive; however, it has not captured a few aspects e.g the introduction, statement problem, data collected, data analysis and the conclusion.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	The content of the manuscript is scientifically correct and so are the findings of the study.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	The references cited are relevant, adequate and recent.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Chapter 3 on Materials and Methods is not well done. The experimental design used needs to be indicated as well as treatment arrangements. The data which was collected is not clear and therefore, need to rewrite this section	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is suitable apart from a few grammatical errors which can be corrected as shown in the reviewed manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	The study is very relevant and scientific as well with new knowledge. Chapter four on Results and Discussion is well done	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mwende Ngie
Department, University & Country	Kenyatta University, Kenya