

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_99187
Title of the Manuscript:	"Influence of organic nutrient sources on growth and yield of rice varieties ( <i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) and yield validation using SPSS model"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes</b> <b>Please change and make a better one</b> <b>Not to the mark</b> <b>1. Treatment and varietal details are written haphazardly, please write in a complete sentence</b> <b>2. Also make a separate sentence mentioning the parameters recorded. Then come to the results what you have got under certain treatment</b> <b>Okay</b> <b>Yes</b> <b>No. Please add some references from recent studies from 2020, 2021 and 2022, if possible</b>  <b>1) Introduction is not impressive. Somehow it seems the authors are more focused on explaining the importance of rice rather than the main topic. Authors are advised to must write the introduction in brief with proper explanation of the topic you have chosen, no need to write extra</b> <b>Conclusion is poor. It is not clear what the authors want to say. It also lacks the future perspective. Kindly write the conclusion part clearly as it can solely define the study and its outcome</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No (Please correct the grammatical and sentence errors throughout the manuscript)	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Trisha Sinha
Department, University & Country	Gopal Narayan Singh University, India