

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_98407</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Evaluation of Integrated pest management modules for the management of capsule borer <i>Conogethes punctiferalis</i> (Guenee) on Castor <i>Ricinus communis</i> L.</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	1- <b>Yes this manuscript is important for scientific community because essentials oils is an important alternative for plant protection and in this work, Mahua oil, neem oil, pongamia oil etc... have prove their effectiveness.</b> 2- <b>The title of this article is suitable but can be better. My suggestion: "Management of capsule borer <i>Conogethes punctiferalis</i> (Guenee) on <i>Castor Ricinus communis</i> L. using some plants oil"</b> 3- <b>Abstract is comprehensible but somethings have to be corrected and added</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add "s" on condition</li> <li>• What was the record with T1 treatment</li> <li>• What means "Par" ?</li> <li>• You have put keys words at the end of your abstract</li> </ul> 4- <b>The authors have to dissociate materials and methods, add and explain part title "Datas analysis", add a conclusion of the work, aknowledgement.</b> 5- <b>Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct but, authors should explain well methods used to be sure that everybody can understand the work.</b> 6- <b>References are recent but not sufficient for this kind of work. Messaoundene and al. (2017); Yilar and al. (2016); Ndogho and al. (2018); Mboussy and al. (2021);</b> 7- <b>At the end of your introduction, you have to give us the main objective of this work</b> 8- <b>In your abstract or method, you should give the signification of all the treatments: T0,T1, T2, T3 etc...</b> 9- <b>In your method tell us how you obtain the concentration 2% of each plant oil.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mboussi Serge Bertrand</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Douala, University Institute of Technology, Cameroon</b>