

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Blood Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IBRR_98919
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk factors of avascular osteonecrosis of the femoral head in children at the National Reference Center for Sickle Cell Disease in Brazzaville, Congo.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalibrr.com/index.php/IBRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The avascular osteonecrosis of the femoral head (AOFH) is a common complication of sickle cell disease (SCD). This study aimed to identify the risk of occurrence AOFH. It concerned 31 children with clinical and radiographic signs of AOFH. A relationship was found between the AOFH and the frequency of VOC, hospitalization for VOC as well as number blood transfusion of SCD children. Emphasis should be placed on the prevention and early management of acute complications of SCD. 2. The title is in accordance with the text. 3. The abstract of the article summarizes the text of the article. 4. The text is very good divided into parts. 5. The article is scientifically correct. 6. The references are updated. The tables are in accordance with the text.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English is good.	
Optional/General comments	The article should be published in this form.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Alina Maria Sisu
Department, University & Country	Victor Babes University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania