

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_99227
Title of the Manuscript:	Giant left atrial appendage aneurysm or pericardial effusion: a misleading appearance on echocardiography and a high risk of rupture
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	would like to comment a few questions: <i>a) Observe the exhaustive use of the abbreviations 'LAA' and 'LAAA';</i> <i>b) To redistribute and review dimensions of Figures 1 {A and B} and Figures 2 {A, B and C} to permit a better observation;</i> <i>c) Observe strictly all Editor Norms!</i>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Check and review the language	
Optional/General comments	Follow author guidelines	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jurandy Santos Nogueira
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Bahia, Brasil