

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_98250
Title of the Manuscript:	BEHIND THE UNIFORM: POLICE VIOLENCE AND ABUSE AFTER #ENDSARS PROTESTS IN NIGERIA (2021-2023)
Type of the Article	research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it sheds light on #ENDSARS in Nigeria. I would suggest: POLICE VIOLENCE AND ABUSE AFTER #ENDSARS PROTESTS IN NIGERIA (2021-2023) Yes The conclusion should not be combined with the discussion section. This manuscript is not a research paper; rather, it is a popular piece of writing that goes into greater detail about various cases that have been publicized in the media. It is not appropriate for journal publication. Please include the references from Source column in Table 1	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Please proofread your manuscript, by a professional proofread service provider.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Noor Hazfalinda Hamzah
Department, University & Country	Universiti Kebangsaan, Malaysia