

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_99748
Title of the Manuscript:	Depression and Alcohol Use Disorder Co-Morbidity among Undergraduate Students in University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes 2. Yes 3. The manuscript title is ok. The abstract is comprehensive and readable. I suggest language editing to the abstract and the whole of the manuscript. Some significant interpretations should be added to the abstract. The article attempts to determine the prevalence and the socio-demographic correlates of alcohol use disorder and depression co-morbidity among undergraduate in Nigeria. 4. The introduction needs minor modifications. Authors are encouraged to add citations to support their claims. The author(s) should sharpen the study arguments. The arguments behind the study should be made explicit. Thus, the introduction needs minor improvements. Some relevant inclusion and exclusion criteria may be added to this section. The results may be presented using subheadings that make it more comprehensive. The discussion is good. I suggest to add detailed implications of the review especially research, practice and policy dimensions. It also needs modifications. New findings should be highlighted. Interpretations of the results need to be improved. Directions for future research may also be added to make the article more useful. 5. Yes 6. Yes	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Minor language editing is suggested.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The study topic is time and relevant. Its design, methods, and presentations are also good. The study results can make important contributions to the field of knowledge. The manuscript requires minor changes before it is accepted.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gyanesh Kumar Tiwari
Department, University & Country	Dr. Hari Singh Gour Central University, India