

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_96450
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICIENCY OF CHEESE MAKING FROM CAMEL MILK BY BLENDING WITH COW MILK AT DIFFERENT PROPORTION.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- Food Science is applied in this manuscript. Analysis are discussed and the them is new. 2- The title is true to the manuscript, represents according. 3- Abstract is comprehensive, but could be more concise. 4- Yes, they are appropriate, but could be numbered. 5- The manuscript is scientifically correct. There are many analysis and discussion about. 6- References are sufficient for.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is innovative and very interesting to read. Some correction suggestions: All Tables: insert and standardize captions, heading format and variables in standard row and column. PH? Table 4: Tastes? Variables: (F)? (M)? Espaces in the text, specially before references.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Patrícia Matos Scheuer
Department, University & Country	Federal Institute of Santa Catarina, Brazil